

Memo Date: January 10, 2007
Order Date: January 23, 2007



TO: Board of County Commissioners

DEPARTMENT: Public Works Dept./Land Management Division

PRESENTED BY: BILL VANVACTOR, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
KENT HOWE, PLANNING DIRECTOR

AGENDA ITEM TITLE: In the Matter of Considering a Ballot Measure 37 Claim and Deciding Whether to Modify, Remove or Not Apply Restrictive Land Use Regulations in Lieu of Providing Just Compensation (PA06-6348, Dilley)

BACKGROUND

Applicant: Vena Dilley

Current Owner: Vena Dilley

Agent: Steve Cornacchia

Map and Tax lot: 18-02-21 #500

Acreage: approximately 31 acres.

Current Zoning: E25 (Exclusive Farm Use)

Date Property Acquired: November 19, 1949 (Warranty Deed recorded in Book 407, Page 691)

Date claim submitted: August 1, 2006.

180-day deadline: January 28, 2007.

Land Use Regulations in Effect at Date of Acquisition: Unzoned.

Restrictive County land use regulation: Minimum parcel size of forty acres and limitations on new dwellings in the E25 zone (LC 16.212).

ANALYSIS

To have a valid claim against Lane County under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770, the applicant must prove:

1. Lane County has enacted or enforced a restrictive land use regulation since the owner acquired the property, and

The current owner is Vena Dilley. She acquired an interest in the property on

November 19, 1949 as evidence by the Warranty Deed recorded in Book 407, page 691.

2. The restrictive land use regulation has the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, and

The property was unzoned when it was acquired in 1949. The minimum lot size and limitation on new dwellings in the E25 (Exclusive Farm Use) zone prevent the current owner from developing the property as allowed in 1949. The applicant has submitted an appraisal by a certified real estate appraiser that alleges a reduction of \$480,000.

3. The restrictive land use regulation is not an exempt regulation as defined in LC 2.710.

The minimum parcel size and dwelling restrictions do not appear to be exempt regulations.

CONCLUSION

It appears this is a valid claim.

RECOMMENDATION

If the Board determines this is a valid claim, the County Administrator recommends the Board waive the restrictive land use regulations.

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LANE COUNTY,
OREGON**

ORDER No.

) IN THE MATTER OF CONSIDERING A BALLOT
) MEASURE 37 CLAIM AND DECIDING
) WHETHER TO MODIFY, REMOVE OR NOT
) APPLY RESTRICTIVE LAND USE
) REGULATIONS IN LIEU OF PROVIDING JUST
) COMPENSATION (Vena Dilley/ PA06-6348).

WHEREAS, the voters of the State of Oregon passed Ballot Measure 37 on November 2, 2004, which added provisions to Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) Chapter 197 to require, under certain circumstances, payment to landowner if a government land use regulation restricts the use of private real property and has the effect of reducing the property value; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Lane County enacted Ordinance No. 18-04 on December 1, 2004, to establish a real property compensation claim application process in LC 2.700 through 2.770 for Ballot Measure 37 claims; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has reviewed an application for a Measure 37 claim submitted by Vena Dilley (PA06-6348), the owner of real property described in the records of the Lane County Assessor as map 18-02-21, tax lot 500, consisting of approximately 31 acres in Lane County, Oregon; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has determined that the application appears to meet all of the criteria of LC 2.740(1)(a)-(d), appears to be eligible for just compensation and appears to require modification, removal or not applying the restrictive land use regulations in lieu of payment of just compensation and has referred the application to the Board for public hearing and confirmation that the application qualifies for further action under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has determined under LC 2.740(4) that modification, removal or not applying the restrictive land use regulation is necessary to avoid owner entitlement to just compensation under Ballot Measure 37 and made that recommendation to the Board; and

WHEREAS, the Board has reviewed the evidence and confirmed the application appears to qualify for compensation under Measure 37 but Lane County has not appropriated funds for compensation for Measure 37 claims and has no funds available for this purpose; and

WHEREAS, on January 23, 2007, the Board conducted a public hearing on the Measure 37 claim (PA06-6348) of Vena Dilley and has now determined that the restrictive E25 (Exclusive Farm Use) zone dwelling and land division requirements of LC 16.212 were enforced and made applicable to prevent Vena Dilley from developing the property as might have been allowed on November 19, 1949, the date she acquired an interest in the property, and that the public benefit from application of the current E25 restrictions on new dwellings and the minimum parcel size to the applicant's property is outweighed by the public burden of paying just compensation; and

WHEREAS, Vena Dilley requests either \$480,000 as compensation for the reduction in value of her property, or waiver of all land use regulations that would prevent the division of the land into lots that contain less than 25 acres and placement of a dwelling on each lot, uses that could have otherwise been allowed at the time she acquired an interest in the property; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that under LC 2.760(3) the public interest would be better served by modifying, removing or not applying the challenged land use regulations of the E25 zone to the subject property in the manner and for the reasons stated in the report and recommendation of the County Administrator incorporated here by this reference except as explicitly revised here to reflect Board deliberation and action to allow Vena Dilley to make application for development of the subject property in a manner similar to what she could have been able to do under the regulations in effect when she acquired an interest in the property on November 19, 1949; and

WHEREAS, this matter having been fully considered by the Lane County Board of Commissioners.

NOW, THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the applicant Vena Dilley made a valid claim under Ballot Measure 37 by describing the use being sought, identifying the county land use regulations prohibiting that use, submitting evidence that those land use regulations have the effect of reducing the value of the property, showing evidence that he acquired the property before the restrictive county land use regulations were enacted or enforced and the Board hereby elects not to pay just compensation but in lieu of payment, the request of Vena Dilley shall be granted and the restrictive provisions of LC 16.212 that prevent the division of the land into lots containing less than 25 acres and placement of a dwelling on each lot in the E25 (Exclusive Farm Use) Zone shall not apply to Vena Dilley, so that she can make application for approval to develop the property described in the records of the Lane County Assessor as map 18-02-21, tax lot 500, in a manner consistent with the land use regulations in effect when she acquired the property on November 19, 1949.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Vena Dilley still will need to make application and receive approval for a division of the property and placement of a dwelling under the other land use regulations applicable to dividing the land and placing a dwelling that were not specifically identified or established by Vena Dilley as restricting the division of the land and placement of a dwelling, and it would be premature to not apply those regulations given the available evidence. To the extent necessary to effectuate the Board action to not apply the dwelling or division restrictions of the applicable zone described above, the claimant shall submit appropriate applications for review and approval of a new dwelling to show the specific development proposals and in the event additional county land use regulations result in a restriction of those uses that have the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, the County Administrator shall have the authority to determine those restrictive county land use regulations that will not apply to that development proposal to preclude entitlement to just compensation under Measure 37, and return to the Board for action, if necessary. All other Lane Code land use and development regulations shall remain applicable to the subject property until such time as they are shown to be restrictive and that those restrictions reduce the fair market value of the subject property.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this action making certain Lane Code provisions inapplicable to use of the property by Vena Dilley does not constitute a waiver or modification of

state land use regulations and does not authorize immediate construction of a dwelling. The requirements of state law may contain specific standards regulating development of the subject property and the applicants should contact the Department of Administrative Services (DAS - State Services Division, Risk Management - Measure 37 Unit, 1225 Ferry Street SE, U160, Salem, OR 97301-4292; Telephone: (503) 373-7475; website address: <http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/Risk/M37.shtml>) and have the State of Oregon evaluate a Measure 37 claim and provide evidence of final state action before seeking county land use approval.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the other county land use regulations and rules that still apply to the property require that land use, sanitation and building permits be approved by Lane County before any development can proceed. Notice of this decision shall be recorded in the county deed records. This order shall be effective and in effect as described in LC 2.770 and Ballot Measure 37 to the extent permitted by law. This order does not resolve several questions about the effect and application of Measure 37, including the question of whether the right of applicants to divide or build dwellings can be transferred to another owner. If the ruling of the Marion County Circuit Court in *MacPherson v. Dept. of Administrative Services*, (Marion County Circ. Ct. Case No. 00C15769, October 14, 2005) or any other court decision involving Ballot Measure 37 becomes final and that decision or any subsequent court decision has application to Lane County in a manner that affects the authority of this Board to grant relief under Ballot Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770 then the validity and effectiveness of this Order shall be governed by LC 2.770 and the ruling of the court.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Faye Stewart, Chair
Lane County Board of County Commissioners

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Date 1-17-2007 Lane County



OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL